

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/627,246	07/25/2003		Garry Tsaur		4189
29745	7590	08/16/2005		EXAM	INER
JOE NIEH				WALCZAK	, DAVID J
18760 E. AMAR ROAD #204 WALNUT, CA 91789				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3751	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Tata		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/627,246	TSAUR, GARRY		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		David J. Walczak	3751		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUNICA	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	lugust 2005.	·		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.	·		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 7-13</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
-	Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4, 7, 8</u> is/are rejected.				
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<b>a</b> )	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in onty documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		

Attachment(s)

1) 🗀	Notice of References Cited (P10-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Korteweg. In regard to claim 1, Korteweg discloses an enclosed applicator comprised of an applicator 14 with an applicator tip 16 sealed along with a fluid (see column 3, lines 45-49) within an elongated housing 12 with an opening means 30 near the tip wherein the applicator 14 and the housing 2 are "formed as a single unit" (i.e., after assembly and during use, the housing portion 18 and applicator 14 are not separated and thereby "form a single unit") and wherein when the housing is open at the opening means, the tip is exposed and the fluid may be applied by the tip. In regard to claim 2, the opening means 30 is a breakable reduced section (see column 4, lines 5-7). In regard to claim 7, Korteweg discloses an enclosed applicator comprised of an applicator with a tip 16 affixed to one end of an elongated member 14 sealed along with a fluid within a housing 12 with an opening means 30 positioned closer to the tip than to a second end of the elongated member 14. In regard to claim 8, the tip is made of cotton (see column 3, line 25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/627,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Korteweg. Although the Korteweg reference does not disclose the claimed method of forming the applicator or the housing, the claimed phrase "formed by a blow-molding process" is being treated as a product by process limitation, that is, the applicator and housing are made by blow-molding. As set forth in MPEP 2113, product by process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, but only to the structure implied by the steps. Once a product appearing to be substantially the same is found, a 35 UCS 102/103 rejection may be made and the burden is shifted to the Applicant to show an unobvious difference. Thus, even though the Korteweg reference does not disclose the claimed method of forming the applicator or the housing, it appears that the Korteweg device would be similar as that device claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-13 are allowed.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-4895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30- 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 8/15/05